

American Nuclear Society SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON ADVANCED REACTOR POLICY (SCARP)

Setting the Right Bar: How Consensus Standards Help Advanced Reactor Development

> Steven A. Arndt Chairman ANS Standards Board February 29, 2020

SCARP Background



- Chartered in 2018, by former ANS President John Kelly
- Surveyed existing advanced reactor-related legislation and policy proposals in order to develop integrated policy-related recommendations
- As a first product, developed an eight-page report aimed at accelerating the deployment of advanced reactors in the U.S. and abroad that best represent the consensus position of the U.S. nuclear community

SCARP Roster

- Marvin Fertel, Chair
- Art Wharton, Vice Chair
- Steven Arndt
- Robert Budnitz
- Daniel Carleton
- Michael Corradini
- George Flanagan
- Steve Nesbit
- Craig Piercy
- Piyush Sabharwall
- Mike Tschiltz
- Patrick White



American Nuclear Society 🛞

SPECIAL REPORT Setting the Right Bar: How Consensus Standards Help Advanced Reactor Development

Report of the ANS Special Committee on Advanced Reactor Policy charland by ANS 2018-2019 President John Kelly

In General...



The standards report was developed because it is essential all stakeholders, particularly the federal government, including the U.S. Department of Energy actively support the accelerated development of advanced reactor standards by standards development organizations that the U.S. NRC would incorporate into its regulatory policies, guidelines, and activities so as to not delay or complicate the timely licensing of the first generation of advanced reactor

Reasoning



- Numerous countries are showing interest in the development of advanced nuclear energy designs
- There is a consensus in the U.S. that its leadership and involvement in carbon-free advanced reactor development is crucial to achieve key policy objectives related to nuclear safety, national security, and nonproliferation
- The U.S. has led the development of nuclear energy from its earliest days in the 1950s, but unless near-term actions are taken, U.S. leadership will be lost

Codes & Standards



- Have historically played a crucial role in designing, licensing, and operating light water reactors
- Reduce economic burden by avoiding unnecessary changes to designs
- Facilitate the establishment of technically appropriate safety margins
- Provide credibility for marketing advanced reactors internationally
- Help advanced reactor suppliers demonstrate and market the significantly reduced risks associated with regulatory burdens and first-of-a-kind implementation challenges

Challenges



- Developers may be concerned that the time required to develop the necessary codes and standards may impact project schedules
- In some cases, SDOs and advanced reactor developers may not have resources to commit to fully develop the desired codes and standards on the timelines needed
- Information needed to support early development of standards may not be available
- Regulators need to be more proactive in endorsing relevant codes and standards of interest

Opportunities



- The Future of Nuclear Energy in a Carbon-Constrained World — <u>An Interdisciplinary MIT Study</u> concluded that significant project cost savings could be achieved if specific codes and standards were updated and developed to reflect current technologies
- An ANS/NRC workshop in the spring of 2018 was held to develop a strategic vision for advanced reactor standards
- NEI Advanced Reactor Codes and Standards Needs Assessment (NEI 19-03)

Workshop Findings



- The workshop identified some of the key standards that must be developed or updated to support development of advanced reactors, including the following:
 - ✓ ASME/ANS RA-S-1.4, "Probabilistic Risk Assessment Standard for Advanced Non-LWR Nuclear Power Plants"
 - ✓ ANS-30.1, "Integrating Risk and Performance Objectives into New Reactor Nuclear Safety Designs"
 - ✓ ANS-30.2, "Categorization and Classification of Structures, Systems, and Components for New Nuclear Power Plants"
 - ✓ ANS-53.1, "Nuclear Safety Design Process for Modular Helium-Cooled Reactor Plants"
 - ✓ ACI 349, "Code Requirements for Nuclear Safety Related Concrete Structures (ACI 349-13) and Commentary"

Other Key Standards



- The workshop and NEI assessment also identified a number of other standards that although not a high priority are needed including the following:
 - ✓ IEEE Std 60780-323-2016, "IEEE Standard for Qualifying Class 1E Equipment for Nuclear Power Generating Stations"
 - ✓ ISA 67.0201-2014, "Safety-related Instrument Sensing Lines"
 - ✓ IEEE Std 1786-2011, "Human Factors Guide for Applications of Computerized Operating Procedures Systems at Nuclear Power Generating Stations and Other Nuclear Facilities"
 - ✓ IEEE Std 7-4.3.2-2016 "Standard Criteria for Programmable Digital Devices in Safety Systems for Nuclear Power Generating Stations"



- In developing this report, the ANS Special Committee on Advanced Reactor Policy has worked with the ANS Standards Board and advanced reactor experts and reached out to a wide range of stakeholders from the commercial suppliers and utility community to validate our concerns and inform our understanding about the need for action
- Based on this effort SCAPR developed five recommendations



- (1) Congress should authorize and appropriate funding for a DOE program to assist SDOs and advanced reactor developers in conducting accelerated development of and/or updates to key standards needed to implement a technology-neutral licensing framework before 2027, as mandated by the Nuclear Energy Innovation and Modernization Act (NEIMA).
- (2) The DOE, in coordination with SDOs, should solicit input from the advanced reactor developers, nongovernmental organizations, and other stakeholders to identify and prioritize key codes and standards for creation/improvement and an overall time frame for their development and regulatory acceptance.



- (3) The DOE should provide incentives to national laboratories to ensure proactive participation in developing the new data and methods needed to support a comprehensive overhaul of priority advanced reactor codes and standards.
- (4) The NRC should implement process improvements and/or provide the resources needed to ensure timely adoption of advanced reactor standards. The NRC should reevaluate the need for imposing margins in excess of the margins in endorsed standards and determine whether they are justified from a perspective of reasonable assurance of adequate protection of public health and safety.



 (5) The DOE and/or the NRC should establish a formal process with the SDOs for achieving harmonization of safety margins among new and/or updated consensus standards.



Follow-up

- Work with ANS and other SDOs to develop a plan to support the implementation of the recommendations (particularly 1, 2 and 5)
- Work with ANS and other SDOs to use the current inputs and other resources to identify the highest priority standards
- Work with ANS to ensure this work is priorities







QUESTIONS?

<u>steven.arndt@nrc.gov</u> or jstarkey@ans.org